1 2			Paper 73
3			
4 5 6 7 8 9	Michael P. Tierney Administrative Patent Judge Mail Stop Interference P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Tel: 571-272-4683	Filed: 27 Sep	tember 2006
11 12	Fax: 571-273-0042		
13			
14 15	INITED	CTATES DATENT AND TO A DEMAND OFFI	DE.
15 16	UNITED	STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIC	E
17			
18	BEF	ORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS	, BQ
19		AND INTERFERENCES	SEP PAT. ARD O AND II
20			P 2
21			1= -2
22	RU	TH A. GJERSET, and ROBERT E. SOBOL	7 2006 A. OFFICE TENT APPEALS FERENCES
23		Junior Party	2006 OFFICE VI APPE RENCES
24		(Application 08/335,461),	ES PEA
25			S
26		v.	
27			
28		CK A. ROTH, TOSHIYOSHI FUJIWARA,	
29		EETH A. GRIMM, TAPAS MUKHOPADHYAY	•
30	WEI-W	'EI ZHANG, and LAURIE B. OWEN-SCHAUB	
31 32		Senior Party (Patent 5,747,469).	
33		(Patent 3, 747, 409).	
34			
35		Patent Interference No. 105,377 (MPT)	
36		Tatolic interference (100, 100, 57, 7 (1011 1)	
37			
38		REDECLARATION – BD. R. 203(c)	
39			
40	Michael P. Tierney, Adr	ninistrative Patent Judge.	
41	The Decision on	Motions (Paper No. 72) substituted new Count 2	for Count 1, the
1 2	sole count in interference	e. Consistent with the entry of new Count 2, the	interference is
13	redeclared to reflect the	changed count. While the benefit accorded Gjers	set has changed,
14	Roth's accorded benefit	has not. Similarly, the claim correspondence ren	nains unchanged

1	from that identified in the Notice Declaring Interference (Paper No. 1) but is reproduced		
2	below for convenience.		
3			
4	The Count		
5	Count 2 is substituted for previous Count 1.		
6	Count 2		
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A method of killing a tumor cell in a patient in need thereof, comprising directly administering to said tumor cell therapeutically effective amounts of a DNA sequence encoding p53 operatively linked to a promoter and a DNA damaging agent, wherein expression of said p53 and DNA damage result in the killing of said tumor cell.		
14 15	The Claim Correspondence		
16	The claims of the parties are:		
17 18 19	Roth, U.S. Patent No. 5,747,469: 1-105 Gjerset, U.S. Application No. 08/335,461: 1, 2, 4-20 and 23		
20	The claims of the parties which correspond to Count 2 are:		
21 22 23 24	Roth, U.S. Patent No. 5,747,469: 1-105 Gjerset, U.S. Application No. 08/335,461: 1, 2, 4-20 and 23 The claims of the parties which do not correspond to Count 2, and therefore are		
25	not involved in the interference, are:		
26 27 28 29	Roth, U.S. Patent No. 5,747,469: None Gjerset, U.S. Application No. 08/335,461: None The Parties' Accorded Benefit		
30	1. Roth Benefit:		

Patent Interference No. 105,377 Gjerset v. Roth Paper 73 Page 3

1	Senior party Roth is involved in this interference based on U.S. Patent 5,747,469,
2	granted May 5, 1998. Roth's involved '469 patent is based on U.S. Application No.
3	08/233,002, filed April 24, 1994. Roth is not accorded benefit of any earlier filed
4	applications.
5	2. Gjerset Benefit:
6	Junior party Gjerset is involved in this interference based on Application
7	08/335,461, filed November 7, 1994. Gjerset is not accorded benefit of any earlier filed
8	applications. 1
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	/Michael P. Tierney/ MICHAEL P. TIERNEY Administrative Patent Judge cc: (overnight delivery) Counsel for GJERSET: Michael J. Wise, Esq. PERKINS COIE LLP 1620 26 th Street, 6 th Floor South Tower Santa Monica, CA 90404-4013 Tel: 310-788-3210 Counsel for ROTH: Steven L. Highlander, Esq. FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI LLP 600 Congress Avenue
31 32 33	2400 One American Center Austin, TX 78701 Tel: 512-536-3184

¹ As noted in the Decision (Paper No. 72), the redeclaration is without prejudice to Gjerset seeking authorization to file a motion for benefit of its earlier filed applications during the priority motions phase of the interference.